

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

M.H

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/775,041	02/01/2001	Daniel Flament	A-2645	8338
7	590 05/09/2003			
LERNER AND GREENBERG, P.A.			EXAMINER	
P. O. Box 2480 Hollywood, FL			FLORES SANCHEZ, OMAR	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3724	1 1
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	/ (

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/775,041	FLAMENT, DANIEL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Omar Flores-Sánchez	3724			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE I - Externanter - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutely received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be also within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fie, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. & 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09	April 2003 .				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ TI	his action is non-final.				
3) <u></u> Dispositi	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) $\underline{1-21}$ is/are pending in the applicatio	n.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 18-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>4,5 and 7-17</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	on Papers	·				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) 🗌 .	10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	ts have been received in Applic	eation No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	ority documents have been rece	eived in this National Stage			
* 8	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list		ived.			
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesi	tic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
) \square The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes					
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) 🔲 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		action Summary	Part of Paper No. 11			

Application/Control Number: 09/775,041

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 1-3 and 18 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention and a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made **without** traverse in Paper No. 10. Also, claims 6 and 19-21 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to a nonelected species II.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 4-5 and 7-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schmitt in view of Myover.

Schmitt discloses the invention substantially as claimed including deflection elements/brushes 62, a suction zone 12, an opening (see Fig. 1), a suction device 44 and a vacuum box 40. Schmitt does not show a bearing plate and a drive. However, Myover teaches the use of a bearing plate 19 and a drive 18 for the purpose of displacing the brush member. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified Schmitt's device by providing the bearing plate and the drive as taught by Myover in order to obtain highly effective dust collector.

Application/Control Number: 09/775,041

Art Unit: 3724

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Nordyke et al., Susnjara et al, Dosier and Scheffer et al. are cited to show related

device.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Omar Flores-Sánchez whose telephone number is 703-308-0167.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Allan Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082. The fax phone numbers for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular

communications and 703-872-9302 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148.

ofs

May 3, 2003

KENNETH E. PETERSON PRIMARY EXAMINER Page 3